



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 09/445,827          | 08/01/2000         | Masaaki Aoki         | 866/72191-2             | 1423                    |
| 25269 75            | 590 04/29/2004     |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |
| DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC |                    |                      | DONOVAN, LINCOLN D      |                         |
| FRANKLIN SO         | QUARE, THIRD FLOOR | WEST                 |                         | D. DED . N. O. O. D. D. |
| 1300 I STREET, NW   |                    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |
| WASHINGTO           | N, DC 20005        |                      | 2832                    |                         |
|                     |                    |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004 | 4                       |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | ,   | SX                                      |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |   |
| Advisory Action   | 09/445,827   | AOKI ET AL.   |   |
| ,   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |
|   | Lincoln Donovan  | 2832  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add  | ress                                    |
| THE REPLY FILED $4-\nu-\nu \Psi$ FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.                         | void abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment whic  | ation. A proper repl<br>h places the applica                              | ition in                                |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |   |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this an oevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH               | g date of the final rejecti<br>HE FINAL REJECTION.                        | on.<br>See MPEP                         |
| fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of<br>fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of<br>(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi-<br>timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C            | of extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>ce later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The apportunity of the final originally set in the final | ropriate extension<br>Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI  |  |   |   |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |   |   |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (   | see NOTE below);  |   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | pelow);  |   |   |
| (c)   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or sir  | mplifying the                           |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli  | ing a corresponding number of f  | inally rejected claim   | S.                                      |
| NOTE: applicant's newly claimed ratio necessita   | tes further search and/or considera  | <u>ition.</u> .   |   |
| $3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | tion(s):   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would<br/>canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed   | amendment                               |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  | reconsideration has been consi   | dered but does NO   | T place the                             |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | o issues which were   | e newly                                 |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |   | and an                                  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: 2-4,8,9 and 12.  |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |   |
| 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appl   | roved or b) disapproved by t   | ne Examiner.  |   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  | / )   |   |
| 10. Other:  | UNCO   | N DONOVAN<br>BY EXAMINER  | •                                       |